



## REDCOM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

April 13<sup>th</sup>, 2020 at 15:00

Minute Meetings

Skype meeting call in: 1-323-886-6897 ID: 365567814

### Director's Present:

David Caley-Chair  
Steve Akre-Vice Chair  
Jason Boaz-Secretary  
Mark Heine (Came In late)  
Tony Gossner

### Absent:

Sundari Mase  
Bryan Cleaver (abstained)  
John Lantz  
Dan Northern

### Others Present:

KT McNulty- REDCOM Executive Director  
Brenda Bacigalupi -REDCOM Administrative Assistant

|                  |                                      |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Dean Anderson    | Ambrose Stevens                      |
| Chris Thomas     | Bruce Lee                            |
| Doug Williams    | Anne Keck, Former County Counsel     |
| Kent Coxon       | Tambra Curtis Current County Counsel |
| Aaron Abbott     | Dick Luttrell                        |
| Brian Henricksen | Ken Reese                            |

Notice: Copies of additional materials provided to the Board of Directors for information on agenda items are available at the REDCOM fire & EMS 9-1-1 Center.

1. Call to Order -by David Caley 15:03
2. Approval of the Agenda Motion to approve\_ made by Steve Akre, Second Jason Boaz- no further comments. Roll call. Approved unanimously.

### 3. Public Comment Period

In this time-period, anyone from the public may address the REDCOM Board of Directors regarding any subject over which the Board has jurisdiction, but which is not on today's agenda. Individuals will be limited to a three-minute presentation. No action will be taken by the Board as a result of any items presented at this time. **No Comments**

### 4. New Business

- a) Discussion of EMS RFP and any potential impacts on REDCOM.

David Caley - Thanked to all of the guest and the historical guests that are attending this Special RFP meeting, to help with our discussion about the upcoming EMS RFP that is in process. The potential impact that it might have on REDCOM. He spoke about the Last BOD Meeting we had on the discussion of the RFP and thought it would be a good idea to bring back everyone historical that was heavily involved in the process. With as many historical guests we have on the phone not sure who wants to speak up first.

Chris Thomas – From my perspective 2009 franchise process, for the ambulance provider there was a need to separate the provision of dispatch services as a requirement under the RFP, one that had a finance contribution from the ambulance provider from the prospective of ensuring there were no concerns of Medicare fraud. What we did at the time, there was consideration was taken on REDCOM should be their own employer and there was an effort to ensure transition services from the current provider and there was an effort in place to work with EMS in on the RFP development and ensure that interest was stated that existing arrangement of having the central ambulance provider, provide the dispatch services, would continue and allow for that RFP to require information to be presented, even though it wasn't a requirement to continue providing services and how services should be provided if the responder was selected to do both the ambulance and dispatch services. The REDCOM Board then created a REDCOM Board RFP process for itself, so that it could have the contract dispatch services provided by another entity if it decided it wasn't going to be an employer.

Kent Coxon – There were a couple of items previous to this time (referring to Chris Thomas' statement timeline) the ambulance services AMR was providing were integrated into the Franchise Ambulance agreement. The Board wanted to make sure they had some decision-making power in deciding the provider of dispatch services, due to not being certain of who would be awarded the ambulance franchise; thus the dispatch services were segregated. It was indicated that whoever was going to bid on the ambulance portion needed to indicate intent to bid on the dispatch services portion. At that time there was a consensus that the dispatch services that were being provided were good and acceptable, there was a concern about having to start all over with rebuilding things should there be a different provider. There were two other issues that played out; AS it was structured under AMR it was difficult as we were looking

at operation costs and looking at the financial components to segregate from the AMR budget the way it was structured under the ambulance agreement. The other component was is that the board wanted more director control of the REDCOM Director, as it was under the ambulance contract, the Director fell under AMR management. The Board wanted a more direct line of control.

Tony Gossner – Was there a desire to create a command center in REDCOM? Tony defined what that meant at Chris Thomas’ request. Doug Williams clarified that it was never a direct intent, but that the topic was explored as a potential option to grow into. Dick Lutrell also had the same recollection.

Anne Keck – There was a legal issue with the provisioning of providing dispatch services on the County’s EMS RFP and we were able to resolve that. I don’t have any access to my county files. We were able to successfully pull out the dispatch portion of the process. At the same time, we were negotiating with the county with respect to additional space in the Sherriff’s office. I am only able to recall the things that were already mentioned.

Aaron Abbott spoke about the of issues that were explored with the JPA if it was changed or modified where there was a new JPA that would be develop. The potential impact of the SB438 that REDCOM JPA contract would no longer be in the grandfather period with the private servicer’s contractor, because of the date on the SB438 January 1, 2019. The pervious discussion was that the JPA had to be modified or changed to included Marin Agency as number then that would void the AMR services contract. The other issue of the RFP is that if the RFP is pushed out by REDCOM as a JPA we need to explore more what the impacts would be given that AMR isn’t the awardee or the exciting contract. Right now, it is an evergreen contract and that contract cannot be change to another private provider (check with counsel to verify that this is correct). You would still be outside of the grandfather time frame of January 1, 2019. The discussion around Marin was really REDCOM contracting to provide services to Marin County agency so that the AMR service contract with the JPA was not jeopardized.

Tambra Curtis speaking about SB438. With the new legislation is not entirely clear. SB438 it is that problematic for Marin to join. I think it is to late I don’t have attentive answer at the moment. I don’t do see it a s a viable option at this point. She will have to get back with us after she researchers.

David Caley, we gathered all of information and there is a lot of gaps at the moment. The next Board meeting is in July need to request another Special RFP meeting prior to the July meeting. We are going to do another meeting and we then can have an action item in July.

Dean Anderson circled back to what Aaron Abbott mentioned. In December of 2019 the Board signed a 2-year evergreen green agreement with AMR which runs in 2-year cycles. There really isn’t a need for the Board to do anything unless they are going to change providers or terms of the agreement.

All the Board Members have agreed to another 1 or 2 meeting to discuss everything that was talked about today. They want to process everything that was said and have a discussion at that next meeting. They would also like to see REDCOM become a command center.

David Caley requested a Motion to approve additional Special RFP meeting at least one or maybe two if needed. Motion to approve made by Mark Heine 1<sup>st</sup>, Second Jason Boaz. No further discussion. Roll call. Approved unanimously.

21:23 elapsed.

5. Next meeting will be July 9th, 2020
6. Adjournment- 13:55 Motion to adjourn made by Steve Akre, Second David Caley roll call. Approved unanimously